



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,096	11/13/2001	Joachim Blum	FA-1035	7509

7590 08/03/2004
E I du Pont de Nemours and Co
1007 Market Street
Legal patents
Wilmington, DE 19898

EXAMINER

FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1762

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/069,096

Applicant(s)

BLUM ET AL.

Examiner

William P. Fletcher III

Art Unit

1762

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 10-37.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2/27/2002.
10. ☐ Other: _____

SHANE P. BECK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

WPF 7/29/2004
William Phillip Fletcher III
Patent Examiner, USPTO
Group Art Unit 1762

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Claims 10, 33, 35, and 37, if amended as-proposed, would recite "...wherein the rear face has a smooth high-gloss surface. Similarly, claims 12 and 13, if amended as-proposed, would recite "...wherein the front face has a smooth high-gloss surface." The examiner has not, heretofore, considered claims of this scope. Consequently, entry of this amendment would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are noted, but they are not persuasive. It remains the examiner's position that the specific adhesive of Wade reads on a lacquer within the context of applicant's invention. (Applicant is referred to the examiner's detailed arguments on the issue, set-forth in the Office action mailed 5/18/2004.) While one of ordinary skill in the art, in reading the Wade reference, might not select a lacquer that is not an adhesive, this does not mean that the adhesive of Wade is not a lacquer according to the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term given by one of ordinary skill in the art, in light of the specification. The adhesive of Wade is a specific type of lacquer, said specificity derived from its *function* or *use*: being capable of bonding two surfaces together. Applicant merely claims a "lacquer," and neither recites nor excludes a particular function or use of this lacquer.

WFF 7/29/2004